

ERRATUM

Open Access



Erratum to: A state-by-state comparison of middle school science standards on evolution in the United States

Bertha Vazquez* 

**Erratum to: *Evo Edu Outreach* (2017) 10:5
 DOI 10.1186/s12052-017-0066-2**

In the original publication [1] are the values for North Carolina and Nebraska incorrect in Table 2. Below you will find the correct versions.

Incorrect version:

State	Category 1 Is the term "evolution" used?	Category 2 Is the concept of evolution clearly defined?	Category 3 Multiple lines of evidence for evolution are presented (fossils, homologous structures, genetics, artificial selection, etc.)	Category 4 Natural selection Mentioned 1 point Defined 1 point	Category 5 Adaptation Mentioned 1 point Defined 1 point	Total points	Letter grade
	Max 1 pt	Max 1 pts	Max 4 pts	Max 2 pts	Max 2 pts	10	
NE	0	0	1	1	1	3	F
NC	1	1	4	2	2	7	10

Correct version:

State	Category 1 Is the term "evolution" used?	Category 2 Is the concept of evolution clearly defined?	Category 3 Multiple lines of evidence for evolution are presented (fossils, homologous structures, genetics, artificial selection, etc.)	Category 4 Natural selection Mentioned 1 point Defined 1 point	Category 5 Adaptation Mentioned 1 point Defined 1 point	Total points	Letter grade
	Max 1 pt	Max 1 pts	Max 4 pts	Max 2 pts	Max 2 pts	10	
NE	1	0	1	0	1	3	F
NC	1	1	4	2	2	10	A

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:10.1186/s12052-017-0066-2.

Published online: 11 September 2017

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Reference

- Vazquez B. A state-by-state comparison of middle school science standards on evolution in the United States. *Evo Edu Outreach*. 2017;10:5. doi:10.1186/s12052-017-0066-2.

*Correspondence: bertha@richarddawkins.net
 Richard Dawkins Foundation for Reason and Science, Washington, USA

